

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,727	09/17/2003	Mana Gotou	HAS-0203	2221
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC David T. Nikaido Suite 501 1233 20th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036			EXAMINER	
			FORD, VANESSA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/663,727	GOTOU, MANA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vanessa L. Ford	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Pariod for Renly						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application, even if timely filed, may reduce any any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 S	September 2003.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ale. a) act	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2 Contified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO.413)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
2) Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Netter (1.1.5 or 1.5)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/663,727

Art Unit: 1645

## **Election/Restriction**

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Group I Claim 1 is drawn to a digestion promoter for a ruminant animal for promoting digestion of feed in a stomach of the ruminant animal, classified in class 424 subclass 184.1.
  - Group II Claim 2 is drawn to a breeding method of a ruminant animal, classified in class 436, subclass 39.

2. Groups I and Groups II are product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a material different process of using that product (MPEP 806.05(h). In the instant case the inventions of Group I may be used for a number of different processes that are very much unrelated. For example, the digestion promoter of Group I can be administered to young animals to increase the survival rate of the young animals.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/663,727

Art Unit: 1645

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Moreover, in the absence of restriction it would place an undue search and examination burden on the examiner.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(h).
- 6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.
  Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is
  subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise

Application/Control Number: 10/663,727

Art Unit: 1645

with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply

Art Unit: 1645

where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 7. A telephone call was made to David T. Nikaido on May 24, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 8. Any inquiry of the general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272–1600.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Office Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for the Group 1600 is (571) 272-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Vanessa L. Ford, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0857. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-0864.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov./">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov./</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electropic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vallessa L. Ford

Biotechnology Patent Examiner

May 30, 2006

1911 Mayufuld NITA MINNIFJEED Y